

Microstructural Modeling of Snow and Firn Processes

Thomas Kaempfer, Mark Hopkins, Don Perovich Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH, USA and AF-Consult Switzerland AG

Martin Schneebeli, Bernd Pinzer

Mathis Plapp

WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Davos, Switzerland

Ecole Polytechnique, Laboratoire PMC, Palaiseau, France

thomas.kaempfer@a3.epfl.ch

Microstructural Modeling of Snow and Firn Processes

- Snow properties and processes
 - the material snow and metamorphism
 - snow-... interactions
- Natural and Digital snow
 - micro-tomography vs. discrete elements
- Microstructural modeling
 - metamorphism
 - mechanics
 - fluid (and air) flow
 - radiative transfer



Snow: a granular material ?



Lab exp.: 130 kg m⁻³, temperature gradient: 120 K m⁻¹



Snow on the ground

(1) Is a sintered, porous material



Grain type, size, density + micro-structure

(2) metamorphoses





Issue 1: Effective heat conductivity



Similar crystal type and density, but highly different EHC



Issue 2: Snow-atmosphere interaction



In order to improve

- snow assessment
- forecasting of snow properties
- ice core analyses
- ...

It is necessary to understand

- the relation between micro-structure and macro-properties
- the physics of snow metamorphsim

A solution is

• combined physics based modeling and experiments



Metamorphism experiments inside µ-CT



- controlled T and grad(T)
- undisturbed snow structure observation high resolution: 10-40 μm, every 2-8h
- simultaneous heat flux measurements



Structural changes (unidirectional TG)





Schneebeli & Sokratov, Hydrol. Proc., 2004

1.0 mm

t = 286 h

Sublimation-deposition (unidirectional TG)

lost

constant

gained

day 0



day 1 – day 0



day 8



day 16



day 16 – day 15



Evolution of snow structure (unidirectional TG)

- coarsening
- high mass flux
- rate of mass loss and gain

>>

growth rate

• grain \neq grain



Crystal lifetime in hours (unidirectional TG)





Pinzer (et al.), Thesis ETH 2009

Experiments under sinusoidal TG

- Alternating TG similar to snow surface in nature
- max(grad(T)) ~ 100 K/m





- The "critical gradient" for faceting was exceeded during 86% of the time
- No sign of faceting was observed
- Slow 3D-structure evolution

Life-time (sinusoidal TG)





Heat conductivity Unidirectional vs. sinusoidal TG





Pinzer (et al.), Thesis ETH 2009

A "virtual" snow lab

Natural snow Model snow Numerical modeling Controlled, variable metamorphism 1. structural properties 2. Study processes, **Predict properties:** • air flow • optics



Snow: a granular and porous medium



sintering

When inter-granular processes dominate: Discrete Element (DEM) For matrix and pore-space structures and interactions: 2-phase continuum, FE, FDM



Matrix-Particle interaction DEM + triangulated Microstructure





Monte-Carlo ice particles, Lattice Boltzmann Voxel-Microstructure



Snow metamorphism: processes





TGM Phase-field model: idea

Hypothesis: Dominating driving forces are water vapor gradients in the pore space



TGM Phase-field model: equations

Phase field

$$\tau \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = W^2 \nabla^2 \phi + \phi - \phi^3 + \lambda \frac{\rho_v^{eq}(T_0)}{\rho_i} (u - u_{eq}(T))(1 - \phi^2)^2, \qquad \left(\tau \partial_t \phi(x, t) = -\frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi(x, t)}\right)$$

Energy



Mass

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla (D(\phi)\nabla u) \underbrace{\rho_i}_{\rho_v^{eq}(T_0)} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}, \quad Parameters: Phase-field: \\ \lambda, \tau, W \\ Physical: \\ \beta, d_0$$



Kaempfer & Plapp, Phys. Rev. E 2009

Modeling grad(T) metamorphism



- heat and mass diffusion with phase-change using phase-field technique: no grain boundaries, induced vapor transport dominant
- heat diffusion field disturbed (with respect to linear one)
- heat flow follows ice matrix \Rightarrow high gradients across pores (heat and water vapor)
- Phase-field model: Topological changes are handled implicitly



Results - 3D snow



Snow density 270 kg/m³, grad(T)=190 K/m Domain size: 100x40x210 voxels (1.8x0.7x3.8 mm)



Kaempfer & Plapp, Phys. Rev. E 2009

Simulation of heat (and mass) flow through snow







T ~ -3.4 C, grad(T) ~ 49 K/m



Kaempfer et al., GRL 2005

Isothermal (low gradient) metamorphism

We must consider:

many transport processes and rigid body motion



We must distinguish grains ==> more than one phase field



Isothermal modling: 2D first results

Grain phase fields:



Rigid body advection field:



Two grains, different size, sinter together D_{surf} : D_{gb} : D_{vol} : $D_{vap} \sim 1000$: 100: 10: 1



Isothermal modeling: 2D first results





Monte-Carlo (cellular automaton) algorithm for isothermal aging of snow





Vetter et al., EPL 2010

Curvature-driven modeling of settling



- Curvature effects
 - → diffusion and vapor exchange
- sublimation
 - → bond breaking
- gravity
 - → settling



Snowfall: Discrete Element Modeling



- 3D Discrete Elements (individual ice particles)
- Position and orientation
- Dynamics: contact and body forces on particles (F=ma at each contact)



Fresh DEM snow: ~150 kg m⁻³



Settlement using DEM

- Collisional interaction
- Frozen bonds support tension, compression, shear, bending, and twisting
- Contact creep acts in tension, compression, shear, bending, and twisting to reduce stress
- Frozen contact failure by brittle rupture Sintering using empirical bond-growth law



- driven by self-weight and lid mass of 0.125 kg
- Sample is 20x20x20 mm
- Particles diameter 1 mm

Johnson & Hopkins, J. Glac. 2005



Air flow through digital snow



- regular grid of pore-space (or ice)
- 2D slice or 3D



Lattice-Boltzmann (LB)

- discrete velocities at nodes
- propagation, collision
- bounce-back at ice-interfaces



Air flow through digital firn

- Antarctic firn tomography
- 2.6 cm side-length, density 376 kg m⁻³
- 3D simulation



Tomography: A. Wegener Institute





Courville et al., JRG 2010

Permeablity: simulation vs. experiment

Low accumulation (coarse)

1.00E-08 Modeled permeability (m²) 8.00E-09 y = 0.9439x + 3E-10 $R^2 = 0.8139$ 6.00E-09 4.00E-09 2.00E-09 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 2.00E-09 4.00E-09 6.00E-09 8.00E-09 1.00E-08 Measured permeability (m²)



High accumulation (fine)



Courville et al., JGR 2010

Radiative Transfer Modeling



Goal: Study (and predict) albedo, transmittance, reflectivity factors

Hypotheses:

- wave length << structural scale (grain size)
 - \Rightarrow geometrical optics
- diffraction neglected

Input:

- Grain based model snow (DEM)
- μ -CT images of natural snow







Geometrical optics approach



At ice-air interface:

- part of energy reflected
- part transmitted, angle: Snell's Law $n_i \sin(\theta_i) = n_t \sin(\theta_t)$
- Radiances are determined by Fresnels equation

$$I_r(\theta_r) = I_o(\theta_i) \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\sin^2(\theta_i - \theta_t)}{\sin^2(\theta_i + \theta_t)} + \frac{\tan^2(\theta_i - \theta_t)}{\tan^2(\theta_i + \theta_t)} \right]$$
$$I_t(\theta_t) = (I_o(\theta_i) - I_r(\theta_r)) \frac{n_t^2}{n_i^2}$$

In the ice: Part of energy absorbed by Bourguer-Lambert equation

$$I(t + \Delta t) = I(t)e^{-kL}$$



Photon tracking instead of ray tracing

Idea: Each ray of light consists of many photons (simulation: e.g., 200'000)

Implementation:

- Photons are fired individually
- Optics laws implemented probabilistically
- Discrete element framework tracks photons





Results: Directional reflectance





Kaempfer et al., JGR 2007

Results: Comparison with measurement





Kaempfer et al., JGR 2007

Summary (1)



- snow is a very dynamic material
- evolution depends on environment
- interaction with environment depends on (micro)structure
 - snow and evolution can be observed on the relevant scales
 - \rightarrow input to numerical models









Summary (2)



Numerical models for

- + air flow through pore space (LB, FD, FE)
- + radiative transfer (DEM)
- + heat conductivity (FDM, FD, FE)
- + metamorphism (FDM, CA, DEM, FD, FE)
 - + heat and mass transfer
 - + grain boundaries
- "bits and pieces"
- coupling
- chemistry



+ phase-field method



Conclusion

- Combined micro-structural approach: experiments and modeling
- Modeling: Discrete or continuum approach
 - Depending on problem: mechanics, optics, air flow, heat and mass
- Digital snow
 - DEM or tomography (voxels), triangulations
- Detailed studies at the relevant length scale
 - coupling between micro-structure and physical or chemical properties
 - Based on fundamental physics
 - Strength:
 - Complex geometries (natural snow) & Controlled microstructures (DEM)
 - Examine interaction (e.g., flow or scattering) in detail
 - Coupling to modern observation techniques (μ-CT) & virtual snow lab
- Outlook:
 - Impurities, liquid water, ...



Acknowledgements

• Collaborators:

Mathis Plapp Ecole Polytechnique Mark Hopkins, Don Perovich, Zoe Courville CRREL Martin Schneebeli, Bernd Pinzer, Henning Löwe SLF Davos

• Funding:

Army Basic Research Terrain Properties and Processes Program

Research Participation Program at the USACRREL administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education

Swiss National Science Foundation



Issue 1: Snow mapping (radiative transfer)



Thin snow and extremely cold temperatures (==> high temperature gradients) led to significant overestimation of snowpack SWE by SSM/I



Experiments under sinusoidal TG

- Alternating TG similar to snow surface in nature
- max(grad(T)) ~ 100 K/m





= 0 h



1.0 mm

t = 330 h

1.0 mm

Morphology (sinusoidal TG)

- The "critical gradient" for faceting was exceeded during 86% of the time
- No sign of faceting was observed
- Slow 3D-structure evolution





Mass turnover rate (sinusoidal TG)

Rate of mass relocation



 2.5 means a recrystallization of 60% of the complete ice mass during 12 h



Air flow through digital snow

- cylindrical model snow
- porosity 82 and 85%
- 2D slices simulation

exp100.15.25 porosity 82%





exp100.15.55 porosity 86%

Courville et al., JRG 2010



Results: Snow model studies





Kaempfer et al., JGR 2007