Carbonaceous species and HUmic LIke Substances in Arctic Snow: contribution to the speciation of total carbon and optical properties during OASIS – Barrow 2009 campaign.

D. Voisin⁽¹⁾, J. –L. Jaffrezo⁽¹⁾, S. Houdier⁽¹⁾, M. Barret⁽¹⁾, A. Grannas⁽²⁾, H.-W. Jacobi⁽¹⁾, H. J. Beine⁽³⁾, F. Dominé⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ UJF-Grenoble / CNRS, LGGE, BP 96, 38402 St Martin d'Heres, France
⁽²⁾ Chemistry Dept, Villanova University, Villanova, USA
⁽³⁾ Land, Air, and Water Resources, UC Davis, USA

Why would we look at the carbon content in arctic snowpacks ?





BC lowers snow's albedo, potentially triggering the « snow albedo » climate feedback

Snowpack photochemistry involves organics And it influences Boundary Layer chemistry And it will depends on carbon « speciation »

+ other reasons such as: « it contains all kinds of Persistent Organic Pollutants »

Carbon « Speciation » ?





How does speciation help?





How much is there ?
Under which form ?

• What about spatial / temporal variability ?

• Where does it come from ?

• How does it contribute to photochemistry ?

« bulk assesments »



All pictures taken within 200 m radius, on the same day

Carbon content vs snow type





• EC (~BC) is only a minor contributor (<5%)

DOC major contributor to snowpack carbon

DOC maximum for

Indurated Depth Hoar (Iced Herbal Tea)

Diamond Dust → atmospheric origin

DOC remobilisation ?



Ca²⁺ bridging ExoPolySaccharides molecules





 Real snow chemistry question: Where is the carbon?

- In organic inclusions ?
- on the surface ?
- in the ice lattice ?

 DOC and WinOC operationally defined starting from melted snow

WinOC has to come from particles

DOC contains

- species dissolved in the ice lattice
- some adsorbed species
- Water Soluble Organic Carbon that came with the particles

• \rightarrow some more speciation is necessary, specially on DOC



most Organic Carbon (DOC and WinOC) largely unknown



→look at UV-Vis absorbing species first



Contributions to surface snow integrated absorbance (300 – 450 nm)



- HULIS contribution is about 50%
- 40 % of the integrated absorbption is from unknown chromophores (likely organics)

Absorption from Unknown



Virtually no absorbtion above 350 nm from those unknown chromophores

Unknown chromophores : marine origin ?





Sea ice, brine and frost flowers

The observed weak correlation of the residual integrated absorption with Cl⁻ suggests a marine origin for the unknown chromophores



Conclusions

- Carbon variability linked with snow type and thus the variability of snow transformations
- Carbon probably located essentially in organic inclusions in the snowpack
- Diamond dust enriched in EPS biogels from ocean µlayer: is it a significant DOC source ?
- EC (~BC) is a very minor fraction of snowpack carbon.
- HULIS represent <10% of DOC, yet, it represents more than half of the cumulated melted snow absorption in the UV

Thanks for your attention

Corresponding papers (upcoming OASIS special issue)

0

O

Beine, H. J., C. Anastasio, G. Esposito, K. Patten, E. Wilkening, F. Dominé, D. Voisin, M. Barret, et S. Houdier, Soluble, Light-Absorbing Species in Snow at Barrow, Alaska, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *submitted*.

 Domine, F., J.-C. Gallet, M. Barret, S. Houdier, D. Voisin, T. A. Douglas, J. Blum, H. J. Beine, C. Anastasio, The specific surface area and chemical composition of diamond dust near Barrow, Alaska, J. Geophys. Res., submitted.

Voisin, D., J.-L. Jaffrezo, J. Guilhermet, S. Houdier, M. Barret, H.W. Jacobi, A. Grannas, H.J. Beine, F. Dominé, Carbonaceous species and HUmic Llke Substances (HULIS) in arctic snowpack during OASIS field campaign in Barrow, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *in prep.*